

IONESCU MIRCEA-MIHAI - WITNESS TESTIMONY

10.06.2014

The undersigned Ionescu Mircea-Mihai, I hereby state:

In September 2005 I met ADAMESCU GRIGORE DAN, who asked me to be project manager at one of his construction companies. In this manner I was employed by BAUCONSTRUCT, for two months and then at SC BAUMEISTER SA.

At that moment I thought that the company was owned by ADAMESCU GRIGORE DAN, but in fact, at that moment, it was owned by DIETRICH MIHAI.

On January 2010 I was appointed general director at SC BAUMEISTER SA, at the suggestion of ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER. After the documents were drafted, I ascertained that I was empowered as administrator and general director.

As far as I remember, associates in this company were the company THE NOVA GROUP INVESTMENT BV from Holland and another company from Romania.

Starting with the year 2012 for SC BAUMEISTER SA had some financial difficulties, several requests for insolvency procedure being filed by our creditors.

I have discussed the major issues with ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER. Also, I discussed the juridical aspects with ONUTE DANIEL, who was discussing directly with ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER, while the financial aspects I settled at first with IONUT CIRSTEA, and afterwards with FIRESTAIN DANIELA, later on when she started to take care of the financial status, after she previously discussed with ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER.

As far as I know, both in 2012 and 2013, there were several insolvency requests, and at a certain moment it is possible that SC BAUMEISTER SA had a juridical assistance agreement with "POPPA and Associates" Law Office, which had as object representation in front of the court.

I did not sign this kind of agreement, but it is possible that ONUTE DANIEL did so.

Moreover, I do not know which was the fee was paid in this respect.

I did not withdraw from the cashiers of SC BAUMEISTER SA, cash amounts bigger than 10.000 RON, but it is possible that ONUTE DANIEL did so, but I do not know the amounts. The cash withdrawals which represented upfront which would have been reimbursed, were approved by the economical director IONUT CIRSTEA.

In March or April 2013, I don't remember exactly, ONUTE DANIEL told me that the company SC BAUMEISTER SA shall transform in a limited liability company, and I shall be changed from the capacity of administrator and general director, in which respect I signed some documents in order to register them with the Trade Registry.

After this, because I had a collaboration agreement with SC TNG REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT SRL, I went to SC BAUMEISTER SA Headquarters, on which occasion I found out from ONUTE DANIEL that the company changed its name to SC SIGUR INDUSTRIAL CONSTRUCT and entered insolvency procedure.

Moreover, ONUTE DANIEL, told me that he is taking care of the creditor's table, requesting me to present him the creditors status from one of SC BAUMEISTER SA construction sites.

I state that I have never met with the insolvency practitioner BORZA MONICA-ANGELA and I did not gave her or her insolvency company representative any documents related to SC BAUMEISTER SA.

From April 2013 until present day, I was asked to present several situations regarding the technical status and the market value of some construction machines by CIOFOAIA LUCIAN, as empowered person from BAUMEISTER UTILAJE ECHIPAMENTE, appointed by ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER.

Also, at one of the meetings, ADAMESCU BOGDAN ALEXANDER blamed me for not granting enough help to CIOFOAIA LUCIAN in order to sell the construction machines owned by BAUMEISTER UTILAJE SI ECHIPAMENTE.

Within the group of companies SC BAUMEISTER SA it was adopted as entrepreneurial strategy that SC BAUMEISTER SA to be entrepreneur general, BAUMEISTER PRESTARI SERVICII to handle the work force and BAUMEISTER UTILAJE ECIHPAMENT to own the construction machines and logistics.

In this context, I show that SC BAUMEISTER SA had the capacity of both associate and administrator in the two companies, and I the capacity of permanent representative of the administrator.

I mention that the financial decision for the 3 companies, from the end of 2012, was held by FIRESTAIN DANIELA.

I met the lawyer DUMITRU GEORGE-CLAUDIU through ONUTE DANIEL. I know that he was representing SC BAUMEISTER SA, SC BAUMEISTER PRESTARI SERVICII SRL and SC BAUMEISTER UTILAJE ECHIPAMENTE SRL in several trials, being assisted by him even in a criminal file which had as object a complaint submitted by a creditor for fraud.

I do not know if between the lawyer DUMITRU GEORGE-CLAUDIU and SC BAUMEISTER PRESTARI SERVICII SRL and SC BAUMEISTER UTILAJE ECHIPAMENTE SRL were concluded any juridical assistance agreements, neither his fees nor what was his developed activity, these details being known by ONUTE DANIEL and FIRESTAIN DANIELA.

On the date of 16.05.2014, I went to SC SIGUR INDUSTRIAL CONSTRUCT SRL and I have ascertained that in the office used by ONUTE DANIEL all of his belongings were gone and I thought that, taking care of the company matters, there were some issues that determined him to take this decision.

In this purpose I have called FIRESTAIN DANIELA on 17.05.2014 and established to meet with her at her domicile from Corbeanca. At that meeting FIRESTAIN DANIELA did not want to answer my questions regarding ONUTE DANIEL, telling me just that he did not come to the Headquarters for about a week. Also I have asked her if there are any problems, her answer being evasive, but confirming me after all that there were some problems. While I discussed with FIRESTAIN DANIELA, CATALIN IVAN arrived, general director of SC MEGACONSTRUCT SA. After the last arrived, FIRESTAIN DANIELA told us that during the same week, on Thursday, she was called to Romanian Anti-Corruption Directorate and asked about the activity of SC BAUMEISTER SA. I have ascertained that she was scared by the situation, being asked repeatedly by CATALIN IVAN what did she stated related to the activity of SC BAUMEISTER SA, to which she answered that she does not remember.

From the discussions I found out from FIRESTAIN DANIELA that ONUTE DANIEL and DUMITRU GEORGE-CLAUDIU were also questioned by RNAD.

Further on, FIRESTAIN DANIELA asked CATALIN IVAN to go and tell ADAMESCU GRIGORE DAN about the fact that he was questioned by RNAD.

I don't know if CATALIN IVAN listened to FIRESTAIN DANIELA.

The statement was taken at the Headquarters of RNAD, between 11:40-12:55, in the presence of the lawyer Dinel Alexandru, chosen defender of the defendant Moldovan Mircea.